21

22

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

ALMA and BENNY VILLARREAL, 10 husband and wife, 11 Plaintiffs, 12 VS. 13 INLAND EMPIRE ELECTRICAL 14 WORKERS HEALTH AND WELFARE 15 TRUST ("IEEW"); IEEW BOARD OF 16 TRUSTEES; AETNA INSURANCE 17 COMPANY; REHN AND ASSOCIATES; 18 DAVID KIMMET; KRISTEN KNOX; and 19|| JOHN DOES NOS. 1-20, 20 Defendants.

No. 1:16-cy-03114-SAB

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTION TO DISMISS AND **GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE** FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

On June 21, 2016, Defendants filed a Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) Motion to 23 Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim, ECF No. 4. The motion to dismiss is based on the argument that Plaintiffs' claims for benefits under a health insurance plan 25 are preempted by federal law. Plaintiffs concede that the Employee Retirement 26 Income Security Act ("ERISA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1001 et seq., preempts their claims, 27 as the plan in question is governed by ERISA and their claims derive from the 28|| plan.

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART . . . ^ 1

Plaintiffs are correct, however, that any dismissal is limited to preempted state law claims, and that an ERISA claim may be set forth in a new complaint.

Therefore the Court **grants** the motion to dismiss only as far as preempted state law claims are concerned. Plaintiffs are otherwise granted leave to file their proposed amended complaint.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim, ECF No. 4, is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART**. Preempted state law claims are **dismissed**. However, any appropriate ERISA claims, and their factual bases, may be pleaded in an amended complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter this Order and forward copies to counsel.

DATED this 19th day of August, 2016.



Stanley A. Bastian
United States District Judge